



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,685	07/17/2001	Christophe Clavier	1032326-000138	9929

21839 7590 10/29/2008  
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC  
POST OFFICE BOX 1404  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER
----------

ABYANEH, ALI S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2437

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/29/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/830,685	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CLAVIER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ALI S. ABYANEH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2437	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-8 and 11-15 are pending.
2. Claim 12 is amended.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed 06-02-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In page 9 of the remark in respect to reference of Kocher applicant contends, applicant have not been informed as to what feature in the cited passage of Kocher is considered to constitute the claimed manipulation means that provides an output items from an input data item. Kocher discloses to produce K1 and K2 from a 56-bit key K, a random value K1 is produced then K2 is computed as  $K2 = K \text{ XOR } K1$ . In Kocher K1 is manipulation means that provides an output item K2 from an input item K (column 6, lines 39-42).

In page 10 of the remarks in respect to reference of Luyster applicant contends, applicant have not been informed as to what feature disclosed in cited passage of Luyster is considered to constitute the claimed other manipulation means being obtained from the first manipulation means by performing exclusive OR operation on the first manipulation means with random value. Luyster in fig. 6, block 132, teaches combining intermediate segment and R1 (manipulation means) and producing a replacement value of R1 (other manipulation means), rotating the replacement value of R1 and producing a new value of R1 (fig. 6 and column 42, line 59-column 43, line 4).

In view of above discussion examiner maintains the rejection as follows:

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 6-8 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kocher (US Patent NO.6278783) in view of Luyster (US Patent NO 6,182,216).

#### **Regarding claim 1**

Kocher explicitly teaches a countermeasure method in an electronic component using a cryptographic algorithm that comprises multiple successive rounds of operation with a secret key, (column 12, lines 18-24), wherein at least one of the said rounds is implemented with a first manipulating means for supplying an output data item from an input data item, (column 6, lines 39-42) and the output data item is manipulated by means of instructions (column 6, lines 47-49) and wherein at least one other round of said algorithm is implemented with other manipulation means for supplying output data, so that the output data item is unpredictable (column 6, lines 39-53).

Kocher does not explicitly teach said other manipulation means being obtained from said first manipulation means by performing an exclusive OR operation on said first manipulation means with a random value. However, in an analogous art, Luyster teaches other manipulation means being obtained from said first manipulation means by performing an exclusive OR operation on said first manipulation means with a random value (column 42, line 59-column 43, line 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kocher to include, other manipulation means being obtained from said first manipulation means by performing an exclusive OR operation on said first manipulation means with a random value. This would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so in order to provide cryptographic systems and methods which are secure and to resist attacks by sophisticated algorithms which detect and take advantage of weak subkeys to determine the keys of the cryptographic system (column 15, lines 27-39).

**Regarding claim 12**

Kocher teaches an electronic security component having a countermeasure against attacks on a secret key cryptography technique in which data is manipulated during multiple successive rounds of a cryptograph algorithm, said component comprising: a program memory having stored therein

Art Unit: 2437

a first manipulating means that produces an output value from an input value, means for generating a random value (column 6, lines 39-53).

Kocher does not explicitly teach means for calculating at least one other manipulating means by combining said first manipulating means with said random value and a processor that executes said algorithm using said first manipulating means during some of said multiple rounds and said other manipulating means during other rounds of said algorithm. However, in an analogous art, Luyster teaches means for calculating at least one other manipulating means by combining said first manipulating means with said random value (column 42, line 59-column 43, line 4)) and a processor that executes said algorithm using said first manipulating means during some of said multiple rounds and said other manipulating means during other rounds of said algorithm (column 15-line 63-column 16, line11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kocher to include means for calculating at least one other manipulating means by combining said first manipulating means with said random value and a processor that executes said algorithm using said first manipulating means during some of said multiple rounds and said other manipulating means during other rounds of said algorithm. This would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to do so in order to provide cryptographic systems and methods which are secure and to resist

Art Unit: 2437

attacks by sophisticated algorithms which detect and take advantage of weak subkeys to determine the keys of the cryptographic system (column 15, lines 27-39).

**Regarding claim 6**

Kocher furthermore teaches a countermeasure method wherein each execution of the algorithm includes the steps of drawing a random value and calculating said other manipulation means. (column 6, Lines 39-53).

**Regarding Claim 7**

Kocher furthermore teaches a method wherein said manipulation means are tables of constants. (column 7, Lines 16-65).

**Regarding claim 8**

Kocher furthermore teaches a method wherein said manipulation means are used in combination with an additional exclusive OR operation with a value based upon the random value. (column 6, lines 39-53).

**Regarding Claim 11**

Kocher furthermore teaches wherein said random value is

derived from one or both of the input and output data of said first manipulation means. (column 6, Lines 39-53).

**Regarding claim 13**

Kocher furthermore teaches wherein said first and said other manipulating means each comprise a table of constants. (column 7, lines 16-65).

**Regarding claim 14**

Kocher furthermore teaches wherein said cryptography technique comprises a DES algorithm that is executed in multiple rounds (column 9, lines 1-67, column 10, lines 1-39 and column 11, lines 41-55).

**Regarding claim 15**

Kocher furthermore teaches the electronic security component of claim 12, wherein said component is a chip card. (column 14, lines 1-8).

**Allowable Subject Matter**

6. Claim 2, 3, 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.



### **Conclusion**

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abyaneh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (571) 273-8300 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you

Art Unit: 2437

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/A. S. A./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2437  
10-21-2008

/Emmanuel L. Moise/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437